Authorized officer

C. Luke Gilligan

Telephone No. (571) 272-3600

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT HEATHER JUNE DONALD **SPOOR & FISHER** P.O. BOX 454 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE PRETORIA, SOUTH AFRICA 0001 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing **3 0** MAY 2008 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below PA141958/PCT International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB07/51946 23 May 2007 (23.05.2007) 06 June 2006 (06.06.2006) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06Q 40/00(2006.01) G06Q 50/00(2006.01) USPC: 705/4 Applicant DISCOVERY HOLDINGS LIMITED 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Date of completion of this opinion

09 May 2008 (09.05.2008)

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

PCT/IB2007/051946 30.05.2008

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
DCT/ID07/51046	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion		
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
the international application in the language in which it was filed		
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).		
2. This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this		
Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)) 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a. type of material		
a sequence listing		
table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material		
on paper		
in electronic form		
c. time of filing/furnishing		
contained in the international application as filed.		
filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
Initialistic subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of scarcii.		
_		
4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
5. Additional comments:		

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2007)

PCT/IB2007/051946 30.05.2008

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)

International application No. PCT/IB07/51946

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement		
Novelty (N) Claims NONE	YES	
Claims 1-4	NO	
Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE		
Claims 1-4	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-4	YES	
Claims NONE	NO	
2. Citations and explanations:		
Claims 1-4 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Parsons. Parsons teaches providing employer sponsored health, life, and disability insurance benefits to an employee of the organization (page 2 paragraph 0023). Parson further teaches providing replacement benefits for an employee who is working in a different country (page 2 paragraph 0024-0026). Parsons finally teaches calculating replacement benefits based on the country where the employee is located and based on the host country's legal requirements and economic considerations, wherein the replacement benefits are equivalent to that which would have been provided in the country of origin (page 3 paragraph 0039).		
Claims 1-4 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the sube made or used in industry.	abject matter claimed can	
	•	
*		